AUDS & F VOH

FOR UTILITY DECLARATION

RULE 63 (37 C.F.R. 1.63) DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

AS a below named enventor, I néreby declare that my resigence, post office address and crizenship are as stated below next to my name, and the pelieve I am the original, first and sole inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the INYENTION ENTITLED METHOD OF APPLYING A COVERING HAVING AN INTEGRAL BARRIER FOR USE ON TREATED BOARDS, the specification of Which was filled on April 9, 2004 as U.S. Application No. 10/821,186

Which was filled on April 9, 2004 as U.S. Application No. 10/821,186

Increasy state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above contained specification of T.C.F.R. 1.56. Except as notice below. I hereby claim stored in the residence of the contents of the above contained as expectation of T.C.F.R. 1.56. Except as notice below. I hereby claim stored in printing benefits under 35 U.S.C. 118(a)-(d) or 365(b) or any tonegh application(s) for patent or inventor's certification. Or 355(a) or any PCT uncombined stored in the application for patent or inventor's certification of the session of the state of the subject matter claimed in this application and having a faing date (1) before that of the application on which printly is distincted, or (2) if no printly claimed, before the 6sing date of this application.

PRIOR FOREIGN AL		Filed	Date First Laid Open Or Published	Date Patented of Granted	Prionty Claimed		
		T					
Except as noted below, I hereby coam dominate phonty benefit under 35 U.S.C. 118(e) or 120 and/or 385(c) of the indicated United States applications below and at this is a continuation-in-part (CIP.) application, insofts as the subject matter discreted and claimed in this applications is also above or below and. If this is a continuation-in-part (CIP.) application, insofts as the subject matter as the subject matte							
east course.							
east course.	SIONAL, NONPROVISION	AL AND/OR PCT APPLICAT	OK(2)	Status	Priority Claimed		
PRIOR U.S. PROM	SIONAL, HONPROVISION	AL AND/OR PCT APPLICATI Filed	panding, an	Status andoned patented			
ABBLEOUSS!	<u>Sional, Honprovision</u> Bu	AL AND/OR PCT APPLICATI Filed January 16, 2004	oenging. ≥b	Status andoned patented	Priority Claimed		

I heraby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are thus and that all statements made on information and beset are believed to be true; and there is statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or impresonment, or both, under further that these statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or impresonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Tipe 18 of the United States Code and that such wilful laise statements may reopareize the validity of the application of any potent issued theireon

And I hereby appoint Pulsbury Wirmfrop LLP, Intelectual Property Group, to whom all communications are to be directed), and persons of that firm who are associated with USPTO Customer No. 00000 individually and corrected by prosecular the application and to present an experience in the Patent and Traceman Office connected merewith and with the resulting patent, and I nereby associate from that Customer No. names of persons no longer with their firm, to add it is not never no delete from that Customer No. In the Customer No. and part and rely on instructions from and communicate executive with the personassignee attempt in origination of their Firm to that I customer No. and part and rely on instructions from an communicate executive with the personassignee attempt in the personassignee attempt in the personassignee attempt of the responsibility of the personassignees attempt of above Firm langior an amortey of that Firm it writing to the contrary.

Power of Attorney to	Cuptomer Number	00909	20
	RE- ELLERS	j	O'Connot
Name		First Middle	Canadian Canadian
Residence	Venice	City State/Fore	ign Country Country of Cazzenship
Mailing Address	504 Summerfield Way,	Venice, FL 34282	

Any. Dkt. No. 082018-0309200

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Rule 56(a) & (b) =37 C.F.R. 1.56(a) & (b) PATENT AND TRADEMARK CASES - RULES OF PRACTICE DUTY OF DISCLOSURE

Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the [Patent and Trademark] Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability. (b) information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative and (1) It also establishes by itself, or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim or (2) refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

PATENT LAWS 35 U.S.C.

§102. Conditions for patentability; novelty and loss of right to patent

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless-

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent or
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or
- (c) he has abandoned the invention, or
- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months' before the filing of the application in the United States, or
- (e) the invention was described in
 - (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
 - (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a); or
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or
- (g) (1) during the course of an interference conducted under section 135 or section 291, another inventor involved therein establishes, to the extent permitted in section 104, that before such person's invention thereof the invention was made by such other inventor and not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed, or
 - (2) before such person's invention thereof, the invention was made in this country by another inventor who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention under this subsection there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

§103. Condition for patentability; non-obvious subject matter

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Six months for Design Applications (35 U.S.C. 172).

- (b)(1)Notwithstanding subsection (a), and upon timely election by the applicant for patent to proceed under this subsection, a biotechnological process using or resulting in a composition of matter that is novel under section 102 and nonobvious under subsection (a) of this section shall be considered nonobvious if-
 - (A) claims to the process and the composition of matter are contained in either the same application for patent or in separate applications having the same effective filing date; and
 - (B) the composition of matter, and the process at the time it was invented, were owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.
 - (2) A patent issued on a process under paragraph (1)-
 - (A) shall also contain the claims to the composition of matter used in or made by that process, or
 - (B) shall, if such composition of matter is claimed in another patent, be set to expire on the same date as such other patent, notwithstanding section 154.
 - (3) For purposes of paragraph (1), the term "biotechnological process" means-
 - (A) a process of genetically altering or otherwise inducing a single- or multi-celled organism to-
 - (i) express an exogenous nucleotide sequence,
 - (ii) inhibit, eliminate, augment, or alter expression of an endogenous nucleotide sequence, or
 - (iii) express a specific physiological characteristic not naturally associated with said organism;
 - (B) cell fusion procedures yielding a cell line that expresses a specific protein, such as a monoclonal antibody; and
 - (C) a method of using a product produced by a process defined by subparagraph (A) or (B), or a combination of subparagraphs (A) and (B).
- (c) Subject matter developed by another person, which qualified as prior art only under one or more of subsections (e), (f) and (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.